

CP06-54-000

**ORIGINAL**

Ms. Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street N.E. Room 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

Dear Ms. Salas:

I am writing to express my support for the Broadwater Energy Liquefied Natural Gas terminal proposed in the Long Island Sound. As a Long Island resident I am very concerned about rising energy costs and the need for affordable, clean and safe energy supplies to meet Long Island's energy demands.

The proposed Broadwater LNG facility in Long Island Sound is vitally important for Long Island's economy, environment and long-term future. Our residents and businesses are already paying some of the highest natural gas prices in the nation because of the disparity between domestic energy supplies and our increasing energy demands.

The Broadwater project will increase the supply of natural gas to this region and provide enough natural gas to heat 4 million homes. The bulk of the natural gas is expected to be used by the region's power plants to provide residents with cleaner, more affordable electricity year round. Plus, Broadwater is expected to save the region over \$680 million in energy costs annually, which equates to an annual \$300 savings for the average homeowner. The increased supply of clean burning natural gas will help New York to meet its growing need for energy, while progressing our air quality and climate change goals.

With a location in the Sound over nine miles from the nearest coastline, the public will be safe and the vessel will be barely visible on a clear day. The U.S. Coast Guard found that Broadwater can be operated safely and securely in Long Island Sound and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's Draft Environmental Impact Statement found that Broadwater would have limited adverse environmental impacts. I am confident that Broadwater can be built and operated in such a way that will have little impact on its current uses and would have profound benefit for all energy consumers in the region.

My support of the Broadwater LNG project is rooted in the positive impact it will have on a range of economic, environmental and quality of life issues on Long Island and in the entire New York region. I urge you to consider the significant benefits that Broadwater would bring to Long Island and New York City during your analysis of this project.

Sincerely,

Name:

*Arthur Handwerker*

Address:

*427 SECATOGUE AVE  
FARMINGDALE NY 11735*

2006 DEC 28 P 3

OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

CP06-54-000

12/23/06

**ORIGINAL**

To Whom it may concern; FERC:

This letter is being written to you to let you know I am totally opposed to allowing an LNG terminal to be placed in Long Island Sound.

I live very near the sound, on an estuary, and I sincerely believe there will be environmental impact of one kind or another. Please DO NOT allow it.

Yours Truly,

*Geraldine Phillips*

Geraldine Phillips

4 Point Street

Preston, CT 06365

860-886-7919

2006 DEC 28 P 3:13

Docket # CP06-054-000 (Broadwater Energy)  
Docket # CP06-055-000 (Broadwater Pipeline)

**Steve Abramson & Jane Nichols**

P.O. Box 488 Water Mill, NY 11976-0488 631-726-4348 (o) 631-287-3700

**ORIGINAL**

*CP06-54-000*

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

December 22, 2006

Ms. Magalie R. Salas  
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
888 First St, N.E, Rm 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

2006 DEC 28 P 3:23

Dear Ms. Salas:

**Re: Against Broadwater LNG Supply Barge in Long Island Sound**

Have you dismissed the terrorist risk? Must we take this risk? A 750 yard security zone to the broadside of Broadwater...is that enough shield against a water-borne or airborne torpedo?

Are we well served to have such an "explosive depot" in our midst? The Coast Guard's independent analysis says that in the event of a sever accident a large amount of gas might be released yielding a flammable vapor cloud that could travel four to five miles!

Please reject this plan. We would not wish to see Long Island Sound industrialized, anyway.

Yours truly,

  
Steve Abramson

  
Jane Nichols

CP06-54-000

**ORIGINAL**

Monday, December 18, 2006

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street  
N.E., Rm 1 A  
Washington, DC 20426

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

2006 DEC 28 P 3:24

RECEIVED

RE: Broadwater

Dear Magalie R. Salas,

I am contacting you regarding Broadwater, the proposed Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal to be place in the middle of the Long Island Sound. I am very concern about this issue and wanted to express my frustration.

As I am sure you know, Broadwater is a joint venture between Shell Oil and TransCanada. They have proposed a LNG terminal approximately 9 miles from Rocky Point, NY. The LNG terminal would be permanently moored to the bottom of the Long Island Sound. Not only will this be a substantial eyesore to the surrounding waterfront communities, this will destroy 13,000 square feet of critical habitat for Lobsters.

In addition, the LNG terminal would require 2-3 LNG tankers per week. This will result in substantial disruption to our precious waterways. "The Race" is the main passageway into the Sound. This area within the Long Island Sound is know for its strong currents and is a favorite spot for local recreational fisherman. A permanent "No Public Access Zone" of 1.5 square miles will surround the terminal and an additional "No Public Access Zone" surrounding any tankers. Gunned security vessels would patrol the zone 24/7. This is a stark contrast to the peaceful, relaxed atmosphere that we are accustomed to.

My family and I have lived on Long Island our entire lives. We grew up in Shoreham, a quite waterfront community adjacent to Rocky Point. We walk to the beach daily and enjoy the beautiful views, swimming, boating, water sports and the peaceful ambiance. In addition, several of our family members have gotten married overlooking the beautiful Long Island Sound. This area has great value to my family and we are very concerned about the environmental impact of this proposed energy solution.

A 1.5 square mile exclusion-zone would greatly affect our boating experience. A large industrial facility will ruin a beautiful geographic region. A corporate takeover of a public resource is wrong. For these reasons, I greatly object to Broadwater and urge you to vote against this proposal.

Sincerely,



Deborah Jantzen  
56 Highland Down  
Shoreham, NY 11786

CP06-54-000

**ORIGINAL**

Monday, December 18, 2006

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street  
N.E., Rm 1 A  
Washington, DC 20426

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

2006 DEC 28 P 3:25

RECEIVED BY MAIL

RE: Broadwater

Dear Magalie R. Salas,

I am contacting you regarding Broadwater, the proposed Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal to be placed in the middle of the Long Island Sound. I am very concerned about this issue and wanted to express my frustration.

As I am sure you know, Broadwater is a joint venture between Shell Oil and TransCanada. They have proposed a LNG terminal approximately 9 miles from Rocky Point, NY. The LNG terminal would be permanently moored to the bottom of the Long Island Sound. Not only will this be a substantial eyesore to the surrounding waterfront communities, this will destroy 13,000 square feet of critical habitat for Lobsters.

In addition, the LNG terminal would require 2-3 LNG tankers per week. This will result in substantial disruption to our precious waterways. "The Race" is the main passageway into the Sound. This area within the Long Island Sound is known for its strong currents and is a favorite spot for local recreational fishermen. A permanent "No Public Access Zone" of 1.5 square miles will surround the terminal and an additional "No Public Access Zone" surrounding any tankers. Gunned security vessels would patrol the zone 24/7. This is a stark contrast to the peaceful, relaxed atmosphere that we are accustomed to.

My family and I have lived on Long Island our entire lives. We grew up in Shoreham, a quite waterfront community adjacent to Rocky Point. We walk to the beach daily and enjoy the beautiful views, swimming, boating, water sports and the peaceful ambiance. In addition, several of our family members have gotten married overlooking the beautiful Long Island Sound. This area has great value to my family and we are very concerned about the environmental impact of this proposed energy solution.

A 1.5 square mile exclusion-zone would greatly affect our boating experience. A large industrial facility will ruin a beautiful geographic region. A corporate takeover of a public resource is wrong. For these reasons, I greatly object to Broadwater and urge you to vote against this proposal.

Sincerely,



Doni-Melissa Jantzen  
56 Highland Down  
Shoreham, NY 11786

CP06-54-000

**ORIGINAL**

Monday, December 18, 2006

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street  
N.E., Rm 1 A  
Washington, DC 20426

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

2006 DEC 28 P 3:25

RE: Broadwater

Dear Magalie R. Salas,

I am contacting you regarding Broadwater, the proposed Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal to be placed in the middle of the Long Island Sound. I am very concerned about this issue and wanted to express my frustration.


As I am sure you know, Broadwater is a joint venture between Shell Oil and TransCanada. They have proposed a LNG terminal approximately 9 miles from Rocky Point, NY. The LNG terminal would be permanently moored to the bottom of the Long Island Sound. Not only will this be a substantial eyesore to the surrounding waterfront communities, this will destroy 13,000 square feet of critical habitat for Lobsters.

In addition, the LNG terminal would require 2-3 LNG tankers per week. This will result in substantial disruption to our precious waterways. "The Race" is the main passageway into the Sound. This area within the Long Island Sound is known for its strong currents and is a favorite spot for local recreational fishermen. A permanent "No Public Access Zone" of 1.5 square miles will surround the terminal and an additional "No Public Access Zone" surrounding any tankers. Gunned security vessels would patrol the zone 24/7. This is a stark contrast to the peaceful, relaxed atmosphere that we are accustomed to.

My family and I have lived on Long Island our entire lives. We grew up in Shoreham, a quite waterfront community adjacent to Rocky Point. We walk to the beach daily and enjoy the beautiful views, swimming, boating, water sports and the peaceful ambiance. In addition, several of our family members have gotten married overlooking the beautiful Long Island Sound. This area has great value to my family and we are very concerned about the environmental impact of this proposed energy solution.

A 1.5 square mile exclusion-zone would greatly affect our boating experience. A large industrial facility will ruin a beautiful geographic region. A corporate takeover of a public resource is wrong. For these reasons, I greatly object to Broadwater and urge you to vote against this proposal.

Sincerely,



Joel Friedman  
6 Cold Spring Hills Rd  
Huntington, NY 11743

CP06-54-000

**ORIGINAL**

Monday, December 18, 2006

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street  
N.E., Rm 1 A  
Washington, DC 20426

2006 DEC 28 P 3:26

RE: Broadwater

Dear Magalie R. Salas,

I am contacting you regarding Broadwater, the proposed Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal to be placed in the middle of the Long Island Sound. I am very concerned about this issue and wanted to express my frustration.

As I am sure you know, Broadwater is a joint venture between Shell Oil and TransCanada. They have proposed a LNG terminal approximately 9 miles from Rocky Point, NY. The LNG terminal would be permanently moored to the bottom of the Long Island Sound. Not only will this be a substantial eyesore to the surrounding waterfront communities, this will destroy 13,000 square feet of critical habitat for Lobsters.

In addition, the LNG terminal would require 2-3 LNG tankers per week. This will result in substantial disruption to our precious waterways. "The Race" is the main passageway into the Sound. This area within the Long Island Sound is known for its strong currents and is a favorite spot for local recreational fishermen. A permanent "No Public Access Zone" of 1.5 square miles will surround the terminal and an additional "No Public Access Zone" surrounding any tankers. Gunned security vessels would patrol the zone 24/7. This is a stark contrast to the peaceful, relaxed atmosphere that we are accustomed to.

My family and I have lived on Long Island our entire lives. We grew up in Shoreham, a quite waterfront community adjacent to Rocky Point. We walk to the beach daily and enjoy the beautiful views, swimming, boating, water sports and the peaceful ambiance. In addition, several of our family members have gotten married overlooking the beautiful Long Island Sound. This area has great value to my family and we are very concerned about the environmental impact of this proposed energy solution.

A 1.5 square mile exclusion-zone would greatly affect our boating experience. A large industrial facility will ruin a beautiful geographic region. A corporate takeover of a public resource is wrong. For these reasons, I greatly object to Broadwater and urge you to vote against this proposal.

Sincerely,  


Jesse Jantzen  
56 Highland Down  
Shoreham, NY 11786

CP06-54-000

**ORIGINAL**

Monday, December 18, 2006

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street  
N.E., Rm 1 A  
Washington, DC 20426

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

2006 DEC 28 P 3:27

RE: Broadwater

Dear Magalie R. Salas,

I am contacting you regarding Broadwater, the proposed Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal to be place in the middle of the Long Island Sound. I am very concern about this issue and wanted to express my frustration.


As I am sure you know, Broadwater is a joint venture between Shell Oil and TransCanada. They have proposed a LNG terminal approximately 9 miles from Rocky Point, NY. The LNG terminal would be permanently moored to the bottom of the Long Island Sound. Not only will this be a substantial eyesore to the surrounding waterfront communities, this will destroy 13,000 square feet of critical habitat for Lobsters.

In addition, the LNG terminal would require 2-3 LNG tankers per week. This will result in substantial disruption to our precious waterways. "The Race" is the main passageway into the Sound. This area within the Long Island Sound is know for its strong currents and is a favorite spot for local recreational fisherman. A permanent "No Public Access Zone" of 1.5 square miles will surround the terminal and an additional "No Public Access Zone" surrounding any tankers. Gunned security vessels would patrol the zone 24/7. This is a stark contrast to the peaceful, relaxed atmosphere that we are accustomed to.

My family and I have lived on Long Island our entire lives. We grew up in Shoreham, a quite waterfront community adjacent to Rocky Point. We walk to the beach daily and enjoy the beautiful views, swimming, boating, water sports and the peaceful ambiance. In addition, several of our family members have gotten married overlooking the beautiful Long Island Sound. This area has great value to my family and we are very concerned about the environmental impact of this proposed energy solution.

A 1.5 square mile exclusion-zone would greatly affect our boating experience. A large industrial facility will ruin a beautiful geographic region. A corporate takeover of a public resource is wrong. For these reasons, I greatly object to Broadwater and urge you to vote against this proposal.

Sincerely,



Estella D'Angelo  
P.O. Box 2154  
Aqueboque, NY 11931



CP06-54-000

**ORIGINAL**

Monday, December 18, 2006

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street  
N.E., Rm 1 A  
Washington, DC 20426

OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

2006 DEC 28 P 3:27

RE: Broadwater

Dear Magalie R. Salas,

I am contacting you regarding Broadwater, the proposed Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal to be place in the middle of the Long Island Sound. I am very concern about this issue and wanted to express my frustration.

As I am sure you know, Broadwater is a joint venture between Shell Oil and TransCanada. They have proposed a LNG terminal approximately 9 miles from Rocky Point, NY. The LNG terminal would be permanently moored to the bottom of the Long Island Sound. Not only will this be a substantial eyesore to the surrounding waterfront communities, this will destroy 13,000 square feet of critical habitat for Lobsters.

In addition, the LNG terminal would require 2-3 LNG tankers per week. This will result in substantial disruption to our precious waterways. "The Race" is the main passageway into the Sound. This area within the Long Island Sound is know for its strong currents and is a favorite spot for local recreational fisherman. A permanent "No Public Access Zone" of 1.5 square miles will surround the terminal and an additional "No Public Access Zone" surrounding any tankers. Gunned security vessels would patrol the zone 24/7. This is a stark contrast to the peaceful, relaxed atmosphere that we are accustomed to.

My family and I have lived on Long Island our entire lives. We grew up in Shoreham, a quite waterfront community adjacent to Rocky Point. We walk to the beach daily and enjoy the beautiful views, swimming, boating, water sports and the peaceful ambiance. In addition, several of our family members have gotten married overlooking the beautiful Long Island Sound. This area has great value to my family and we are very concerned about the environmental impact of this proposed energy solution.

A 1.5 square mile exclusion-zone would greatly affect our boating experience. A large industrial facility will ruin a beautiful geographic region. A corporate takeover of a public resource is wrong. For these reasons, I greatly object to Broadwater and urge you to vote against this proposal.

Sincerely,



Frank D'Angelo  
P.O. Box 2154  
Aqueboque, NY 11931

**ORIGINAL**

CP06-54-000

Monday, December 18, 2006

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street  
N.E., Rm 1 A  
Washington, DC 20426

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

2006 DEC 28 P 3:28

RE: Broadwater

Dear Magalie R. Salas,

I am contacting you regarding Broadwater, the proposed Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal to be place in the middle of the Long Island Sound. I am very concern about this issue and wanted to express my frustration.

As I am sure you know, Broadwater is a joint venture between Shell Oil and TransCanada. They have proposed a LNG terminal approximately 9 miles from Rocky Point, NY. The LNG terminal would be permanently moored to the bottom of the Long Island Sound. Not only will this be a substantial eyesore to the surrounding waterfront communities, this will destroy 13,000 square feet of critical habitat for Lobsters.

In addition, the LNG terminal would require 2-3 LNG tankers per week. This will result in substantial disruption to our precious waterways. "The Race" is the main passageway into the Sound. This area within the Long Island Sound is know for its strong currents and is a favorite spot for local recreational fisherman. A permanent "No Public Access Zone of 1.5 square miles will surround the terminal and an additional "No Public Access Zone" surrounding any tankers. Gunned security vessels would patrol the zone 24/7. This is a stark contrast to the peaceful, relaxed atmosphere that we are accustomed to.

My family and I have lived on Long Island our entire lives. We grew up in Shoreham, a quite waterfront community adjacent to Rocky Point. We walk to the beach daily and enjoy the beautiful views, swimming, boating, water sports and the peaceful ambiance. In addition, several of our family members have gotten married overlooking the beautiful Long Island Sound. This area has great value to my family and we are very concerned about the environmental impact of this proposed energy solution.

A 1.5 square mile exclusion-zone would greatly affect our boating experience. A large industrial facility will ruin a beautiful geographic region. A corporate takeover of a public resource is wrong. For these reasons, I greatly object to Broadwater and urge you to vote against this proposal.

Sincerely,



Jami Friedman  
6 Cold Spring Hills Rd  
Huntington, NY 11743

**ORIGINAL**

Monday, December 18, 2006

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street  
N.E., Rm 1 A  
Washington, DC 20426

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

2006 DEC 28 P 3 29

RE: Broadwater

Dear Magalie R. Salas,

I am contacting you regarding Broadwater, the proposed Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal to be place in the middle of the Long Island Sound. I am very concern about this issue and wanted to express my frustration.


As I am sure you know, Broadwater is a joint venture between Shell Oil and TransCanada. They have proposed a LNG terminal approximately 9 miles from Rocky Point, NY. The LNG terminal would be permanently moored to the bottom of the Long Island Sound. Not only will this be a substantial eyesore to the surrounding waterfront communities, this will destroy 13,000 square feet of critical habitat for Lobsters.

In addition, the LNG terminal would require 2-3 LNG tankers per week. This will result in substantial disruption to our precious waterways. "The Race" is the main passageway into the Sound. This area within the Long Island Sound is know for its strong currents and is a favorite spot for local recreational fisherman. A permanent "No Public Access Zone" of 1.5 square miles will surround the terminal and an additional "No Public Access Zone" surrounding any tankers. Gunned security vessels would patrol the zone 24/7. This is a stark contrast to the peaceful, relaxed atmosphere that we are accustomed to.

My family and I have lived on Long Island our entire lives. We grew up in Shoreham, a quite waterfront community adjacent to Rocky Point. We walk to the beach daily and enjoy the beautiful views, swimming, boating, water sports and the peaceful ambiance. In addition, several of our family members have gotten married overlooking the beautiful Long Island Sound. This area has great value to my family and we are very concerned about the environmental impact of this proposed energy solution.

A 1.5 square mile exclusion-zone would greatly affect our boating experience. A large industrial facility will ruin a beautiful geographic region. A corporate takeover of a public resource is wrong. For these reasons, I greatly object to Broadwater and urge you to vote against this proposal.

Sincerely,

  
Donald Jantzen  
56 Highland Down  
Shoreham, NY 11786

BW010815

CP 06-54-000

**ORIGINAL**

Monday, December 18, 2006

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street  
N.E., Rm 1 A  
Washington, DC 20426

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

2006 DEC 28 P 3:29

RE: Broadwater

Dear Magalie R. Salas,

I am contacting you regarding Broadwater, the proposed Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal to be placed in the middle of the Long Island Sound. I am very concerned about this issue and wanted to express my frustration.


As I am sure you know, Broadwater is a joint venture between Shell Oil and TransCanada. They have proposed a LNG terminal approximately 9 miles from Rocky Point, NY. The LNG terminal would be permanently moored to the bottom of the Long Island Sound. Not only will this be a substantial eyesore to the surrounding waterfront communities, this will destroy 13,000 square feet of critical habitat for Lobsters.

In addition, the LNG terminal would require 2-3 LNG tankers per week. This will result in substantial disruption to our precious waterways. "The Race" is the main passageway into the Sound. This area within the Long Island Sound is known for its strong currents and is a favorite spot for local recreational fishermen. A permanent "No Public Access Zone" of 1.5 square miles will surround the terminal and an additional "No Public Access Zone" surrounding any tankers. Gunned security vessels would patrol the zone 24/7. This is a stark contrast to the peaceful, relaxed atmosphere that we are accustomed to.

My family and I have lived on Long Island our entire lives. We grew up in Shoreham, a quite waterfront community adjacent to Rocky Point. We walk to the beach daily and enjoy the beautiful views, swimming, boating, water sports and the peaceful ambiance. In addition, several of our family members have gotten married overlooking the beautiful Long Island Sound. This area has great value to my family and we are very concerned about the environmental impact of this proposed energy solution.

A 1.5 square mile exclusion-zone would greatly affect our boating experience. A large industrial facility will ruin a beautiful geographic region. A corporate takeover of a public resource is wrong. For these reasons, I greatly object to Broadwater and urge you to vote against this proposal.

Sincerely,



Debi-Michelle Jantzen  
56 Highland Down  
Shoreham, NY 11786

CP06-54-000

**ORIGINAL**

Monday, December 18, 2006

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street  
N.E., Rm 1 A  
Washington, DC 20426

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

2006 DEC 28 P 3:30

RE: Broadwater

Dear Magalie R. Salas,

I am contacting you regarding Broadwater, the proposed Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal to be placed in the middle of the Long Island Sound. I am very concerned about this issue and wanted to express my frustration.


As I am sure you know, Broadwater is a joint venture between Shell Oil and TransCanada. They have proposed a LNG terminal approximately 9 miles from Rocky Point, NY. The LNG terminal would be permanently moored to the bottom of the Long Island Sound. Not only will this be a substantial eyesore to the surrounding waterfront communities, this will destroy 13,000 square feet of critical habitat for Lobsters.

In addition, the LNG terminal would require 2-3 LNG tankers per week. This will result in substantial disruption to our precious waterways. "The Race" is the main passageway into the Sound. This area within the Long Island Sound is known for its strong currents and is a favorite spot for local recreational fishermen. A permanent "No Public Access Zone" of 1.5 square miles will surround the terminal and an additional "No Public Access Zone" surrounding any tankers. Gunned security vessels would patrol the zone 24/7. This is a stark contrast to the peaceful, relaxed atmosphere that we are accustomed to.

My family and I have lived on Long Island our entire lives. We grew up in Shoreham, a quite waterfront community adjacent to Rocky Point. We walk to the beach daily and enjoy the beautiful views, swimming, boating, water sports and the peaceful ambiance. In addition, several of our family members have gotten married overlooking the beautiful Long Island Sound. This area has great value to my family and we are very concerned about the environmental impact of this proposed energy solution.

A 1.5 square mile exclusion-zone would greatly affect our boating experience. A large industrial facility will ruin a beautiful geographic region. A corporate takeover of a public resource is wrong. For these reasons, I greatly object to Broadwater and urge you to vote against this proposal.


Sincerely,



Corey Jantzen  
56 Highland Down  
Shoreham, NY 11786

CP06-54-000

**ORIGINAL**



# Building Material Teamsters Local 282

**GARY LA BARBERA**  
President

100  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

**THOMAS GESUALDI**  
Secretary-Treasurer

2006 DEC 28 P 3 34 December 12, 2006

Ms. Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory  
888 First St. N.E., Room 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

100  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

Dear Ms. Salas:

I am writing to express the support of Local 282 of the International Brotherhood of Teamsters union for the Broadwater Energy LNG project. I represent over 5000 members that will benefit tremendously from this project. The Broadwater Energy project will reduce energy costs for my members, ensure their children breathe cleaner air and serve as an economic catalyst for job growth throughout Long Island and the entire New York metropolitan region.

This project will keep energy costs down across the region through increasing the region's supply of natural gas. Working families will receive much needed relief from the volatile costs associated with heating their homes. By making energy more affordable, this project will also make our region more competitive and, in turn, lead to job-producing business expansion.

The construction phase of the Broadwater Energy project will create approximately 140 jobs on Long Island. The operation of the LNG terminal will create approximately 60 permanent jobs; however, the benefits of this project to the Long Island workforce extend far beyond just those 200 jobs. New natural gas supply will drive economic growth and future job growth across many industries throughout the region.

In addition, by bringing a new supply of natural gas, a clean-burning fossil fuel, this project will make a significant contribution in helping the region to repower the older oil- and coal-electric generation facilities with natural gas. This will ensure that working families breathe cleaner air and live healthier lives.

Local 282 of the Teamsters Union strongly supports the Broadwater Energy LNG project and urges that the project's many benefits to Long Island, the New York metropolitan region and its working families be thoroughly considered in the review process.

Sincerely,

*Gary La Barbera*

Gary La Barbera  
President

GL:kp

Affiliated with the International Brotherhood of Teamsters



SEPT-9, 2005

DEAR MS. MAGALIE SALAS

I AM A RESIDENT OF MADISON, CT, IN  
IN CLOSE PROXIMITY TO LONG ISLAND  
SOUND.

I AM OPPOSED TO A LNG FACILITY.  
IT ~~SHOULD~~ NOT BE BUILT. IT  
ENDANGERS THE SAFETY AND HEALTH  
OF LONG ISLAND SOUND.

PLEASE LET ME KNOW OF YOUR POSITION

Mary Ann Attianese  
MARY ANN ATTIANESE  
38 WATERBURY AVE.  
MADISON, CT 06443